

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/601,005	03/01/1996	KJELL BACKSTROM	06275/034001	2853
•	7590 12/18/2003	•	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			CHOI, FRANK I	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1616	38
		·	DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003)6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· *-						
		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
•	0.55	08/601,005	BACKSTROM ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frank I Choi	1616			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r R ply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2003				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	,—		osecution as to the morite is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
-	ion of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46,54-77 and 80-107</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>46,54-77 and 80-107</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be hold in abovenee. See 37 CER 4.85(c)						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notica	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2 T atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/12/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 46, 54-77,80-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that the claims fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in Paper No. 34 filed (9/23/2003). In that paper, applicant has stated the formulations are non-aqueous, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the claims do not exclude the presence of water.

Claims 76, 77, 80-95, 106,107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: that medicament is for inhalation. The Specification appears to indicate that the medicaments are required to be "a medicament for inhalation" as defined on pg. 5 of the Specification, as such, the claims should recite the same.

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46,54-77, 80-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 91/11495 in view of Neale et al. (US Pat. 5,688,782), Sequeira et al. (US Pat. 5,837,699) and Meezan et al. (US Pat. 5,661,130) for the reasons of record set forth in the prior Office Actions and the further reasons below.

WO 91/11495 teach a medical aerosol containing 1,1-difluoroethane, 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane and/or hepafluoropropane and surfactant(s), where the medicine includes betamimetics, such as salmeterol, and steroids, such as budesonide (Pgs. 2-5).

Neale et al. teach that medical aerosols containing fluorocarbons, adjuvants such as ethanol, and surfactants wherein the medicine includes beclomethasone, fluticasone, budesonide, salmeterol, formoterol (Columns 3, 4).

Sequeira et al. teach a medical aerosol containing mometasone, fluorocarbons, such as HFC-134A or HFC-227, and surfactants (Column 5).

Meezan et al. teach that alkyl saccharides are ideal penetration enhancers, i.e. surfactants, for medical aerosols, including for administration of proteins and peptides, in that they are effective but are non-toxic as opposed to other known penetration enhancers (See entire document, especially columns 2,3,5).

Art Unit: 1616

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose the combination of fluorocarbons, medicine and alkyl saccharides in a pharmaceutical aerosol formulation. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as it is known to produce pharmaceutical aerosols containing fluorocarbons and surfactants and that alkyl saccharides are suitable for use as surfactants in medical aerosols. As such, it would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art as above with the expectation that the medical aerosol would exhibit increased bioavailability of the administered medicine(s) and would be suitable for administration of a broader range of medicines.

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive for the reasons set forth in the prior Office Actions, including the Advisory Action (10/02/2003).

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

December 15, 2003

S. MARK CLARDY PATELT EXAMMER GROUP 1219